Virtualization Support

Advanced Operating Systems and Virtualization Alessandro Pellegrini A.Y. 2017/2018

System Virtualization

- Virtualization allows to show resources different from the physical ones
- More operating systems can be run on the same hardware
- A Virtual Machine is a mixure of software- and hardware-based facilities
- The software component is the Hypervisor or VMM (Virtual Machine Monitor).
- Advantages:
 - Isolation of different execution environments (on the same hardware)
 - Reduction of hardware and administration costs





Hypervisor

- *Host system*: the real system where (software implemented) virtual machines run
- Guest system: the system that runs on top of a (software implemented) virtual machine
- Hypervisor:
 - It manages hardware resources available to the *host system*
 - It makes virtualized resources available to the guest system in a correct and secure way
 - Native Hypervisor: runs with full capabilities on the native host hardware. It
 resembles a lightweight virtualization kernel operating on top of the harware.
 - Hosted Hypervisor: it runs as an application, which accesses the actual host services via system calls





Software-based Virtualization

- Instructions are executed by the native physical CPU in the host platform
- We need to emulate a subset of the instruction set
- No particular hardware component playes a role in virtualiztion (as instead for the case of Intel VT-x o AMD-V).
- The main issue:
 - What if RING 0 is required for the guest system tasks?
 - Risk to bypass the VMM resource management policy in case of actual RING 0 access
- *The solution:* ring deprivileging.





Ring Deprivileging

- A technique to let the guest kernel run at privilege level that simulates 0
- Two main strategies:
 - 1. 0 / 1 / 3 Model:
 - VMM runs at ring 0.
 - Kernel guest runs at ring 1 (which is typically not used by native kernels)
 - Applications still run at ring 3.
 - This is the most used approach.
 - 2. 0 / 3 / 3 Model :
 - VMM runs at ring 0.
 - Kernel guest and applications run at ring 3.
 - Too close to emulation, too high costs.





0/1/3 Model

- The application layer (running at ring 3) cannot damage the guest operating system state (which runs at ring 1).
- The guest system cannot access to the hadware priviledged facilities bypassing the VMM, so we still guarantee the isolation of guest systems' execution
- Any exception must be trapped by the VMM (at ring 0) and must be properly handled (e.g. by reflecting it into ring 1 tasks)
- Issues to cope with:
 - Ring aliasing
 - Virtualization of the interrupts
 - Frequent access to privileged resources





Ring Aliasing

- The kernel is designed to run at ring 0, while it is actually being run at ring 1 for guest systems
- Privileged instructions generate an exception is not run at CPL 0:
 - hlt
 - lidt
 - lgdt
 - invd
 - mov %crx
- *I/O sensistive instructions*: they generate a trap if executed when CPL > IOPL (I/O Privilege Level). Classical examples are:
 - cli
 - sti
- The generated trap (*general protection fault*) must be handled by VMM, so as to finally determine how to handle it (emulation vs interpretation)





The VirtualBox Example

Based on hosted hypervisor with ad-hoc kernel facilities, via classical special devices.



- Pure software virtualization is supported for x86
 - Fast Binary Translation (code patching): the kernel code is analysed and modified before being executed so as to replace privileged instructions with semantically equivalent blocks of code
- Based on the 0/1/3 model





Execution Modes and Context

- Guest context (GC): execution context for the *guest system*. It is bsed on two modes:
 - Raw mode: native guest code runs at ring level 3 or ring level 1
 - Hypervisor: VirtualBox code is run at the maximum privilege level (ring 0)
- Host context (HC): execution context for userspace portions of VirtualBox (ring 3):
 - The running thread implementing the VM lives in this context upon a mode change
 - REM mode: execution mode for emulating critical/privileged instructions





Virtual Box GDT

٠

٠

Introduction of gate DESCRIPTION OFFSET DPL BASE descriptors for kernel $(0000)_{\rm H}$ Entry 0 null code/data segments **ORIGINAL TSS** with DPL=1. These segments are ī accessible with CPL=1 1 esp0 **KERNEL CODE** $(0060)_{\rm H}$ 1 1 **SEGMENT** New TSSD pointing to (0068)_H ss0 the TSS wrapper which keeps info on **KERNEL DATA** esp1 unused $(0068)_{\rm H}$ 1 SEGMENT stack positioning at ss1 unused ring 1 (ss1,esp1) and ring 0 (ss0,esp0). .../ VIRTUALBOX 2 new segments for the (FFE0)_H 0 **TSSD** Hypervisor are addedd VBOXTSS with DPL=0 **HYPERVISOR** (FE557000) H esp0 (FFF0)_H 0 DATA SEGMENT (FFF0) H Ss0 HYPERVISOR (F70D3FF8) H (FFF8)_H 0 esp1 CODE **SEGMENT** (0069)_H ss1 CPL = Current Privilege Level ss1=ss0 | 1 DPL = Descriptor Privilege Level



VBOXIDT: interrupt gate

- Interrupt must be managed by the VMM.
- To this end, a wrapper for the IDT is generated
- Proper handlers are instantiated, which get executed by the Hypervisor upon traps. VMM can take control thanks to the ad-hoc segment selector (at the GDT offset for the *hypervisor code segment*).
- In case of a "genuine" trap, the control goes to the native kernel, otherwise the virtual handler is executed



VBOXIDT: gate 0x80

- INT 0x80 has an ad-hoc management
- The syscall gate is modified so as to provide a segment selector with RPL = 1
- It indicates the GDT offset for the code segment (at ring 1).
- Hence calling a system call does not require interaction with the Hypervisor
- The trampoline handler is then used to launch the actual syscall handler



Access to raw mode

- This is used for privileged instructions
 - LIDT -> idtr points to VBOXIDT
 - LGDT -> gdtr poiunts to VBOXGDT
 - LTR -> trpoints to VBOXTSS
- The guest system can then take back control by returning from the trap (iret), with the following registers saved on the stack
 - SS
 - ESP
 - EFLAGS
 - CS
 - EIP





Privileged instructions: patching

- Privileged instructions may hamper performane given that the Hypervisor needs to take back control for handling any of them
- A way to cope with this is patching of these instructions

- An example: the cliinstruction
- Trap if CPL<=IOPL \rightarrow VMM sets IOPL=0 upon entering raw mode
- Problem: if IF=0, then <u>VMM cannot handle interrupts anymore</u>.
- The solution: the code block cli...sti is replaced with a functionally-equivalent one
 - Interrupts are disabled only for the guest system
 - The Hypevisor will take care of finally delivering it.





REM mode

- It does not use runtime patching due to efficiency issues
- Actually executed in host context at ring 3.
- It relies on QEMU.
- Emulation process can be slow, since we need to keep track of processor state changes to be restored upon reentering raw mode
- Typically, at each emulation step, it is checked whether native code execution can be restored





Kernel Samepage Merging

- COW is used by the kernel to share physical frames with different virtual mappings
- If the kernel has no knowledge on the usage of memory, a similar behaviour is difficult to put in place
- KSM exposes the /dev/ksm pseudofile
- By means of ioctl() calls, programs can register portions of their address spaces
- An additional ioctl() call enables the page sharing mechanism, and the kernel starts looking for pages to share





Kernel Samepage Merging

- The KSM driver (in a kernel thread) picks one registered region and starts scanning for it
 - A SHA1 hash is used to compare frames
 - If a similarity is found, all processes "sharing" the page will point to the same frame (in COW mode)
- A host running several guest Windows machines can overcommit its memory 300% without affecting performance

- Windows zeroes all free'd memory



